1-21-05

## EV372468935

3745 P

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

• 54.	
Patent Application Serial No	10/047,198
Filing Date	October 26, 2001
	Gilbert et al.
	Pyrotek, Inc.
Group Art Unit	3745
	Ninh H. Nguyen
	PYŽ-023
•	
	. Molten Metal Pump Particle Passage System

## RENEWED PETITION TO WITHDRAW HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT - OFFICE ACTION NOT RECEIVED

To:

Attn. Technology Center 3700

Commissioner for Patents

PO Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

From:

Mark W. Hendricksen (Tel. 509-624-4276; Fax 509-838-3424)

Wells St. John P.S.

601 W. First Avenue, Suite 1300

Spokane, WA 99201-3828

In response to the Decision on Petition dated November 16, 2004, the Applicant further petitions as follows:

- I hereby petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment in this
  case, on the basis that the Office Action forming the basis of the
  abandonment was not received by our offices.
- 2. I hereby state:
  - a. The Office Action which apparently was indicated to have been mailed on May 7, 2003, was not received by this office.

- b. I have searched of the file jacket and the docket records in my office indicate that this Office Action was not received.
- 3. Our docketing software prints an entry on a separate page for each response to an office action received, and each office action received in this office first goes to docketing for such an entry. I have searched our office records and the file in question, and there is no such entry. Included herewith is a copy of the "Batch Calendar Entries Verification List" dated July 3, 2003, pertaining to my office's file number PY2-023, corresponding to Application No. 10/047,198. The Verification List includes a docket entry dated August 26, 2003, to check whether first examination has been received. The docket entry was created as a follow-up to the filing of the Application on October 26, 2001. If examination had been received, a handwritten notation would exist on the Verification List indicating the date of receipt and the type of correspondence received as evidence supporting the removal of the docket of the task.
- 4. Further included herewith is a copy of my office's master docket copy of the "Calendar By Due Date" for August 7, 2003, the date on which the Office Action Response would have been due for the Office Action not received. The undersigned hereby states that a docket entry to respond to the Office Action in Application No. 10/047,198 does not appear on the Calendar for August 7, 2003. The undersigned further notes that all entries for client other than

ıì.

ζ.

Pyrotek, Inc. are redacted from the Calendar to maintain confidentiality. Accordingly, no information appears on the August 7, 2003. Calendar regarding the subject application.

5. In consideration of these submissions and the attached documentation, it is respectfully requested that the holding of abandonment be withdrawn herein.

6. Applicant notes that no fees are required to have this renewed petition considered and granted, per the Decision on Petition dated November 16, 2004.

7. Because the non-receipt of the Office Action was not due to error by the Applicant, a refund of the petition fee submitted with the Petition dated February 4, 2004, is respectfully requested. Please refund the submitted fee to Deposit Account 23-0925.

Respectfully submitted,

Attachments: July 3, 2003, Batch Calendar Entries Verification List copy; August 7, 2003, Master Docket, Calendar By Due Date copy.

07/03/03

Batch Calendar Entries Verification List Wells, St John, Roberts, Gregory & 1 kin, P.S.

Page:

P42-023

Case: 1625002.0023 Pyrotek, Inc.

USA - Molten Metal Pump...

Starting date: 12/26/2001 Plan Batch Number: 87547 Plan Name: PROS\_FIR

Due Date Employee Type Tcode Description

08/26/2003 10 MWH To Do 000 Have we rec'd first examination?



A LER DOCHAT COPY 9 PAGE. FILE NO. COMPLETED RESP CTRY - TITLE/MARK CLIENT CLAT. REF. # ACTNDATE DESCRIPTION CH3 NO. . . ↑↑↑É. . . Due •Da 09:25a Calendar By 08/07/03

Due Date: 08/07/03